

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,435 | 06/23/2003 | David J. Smith | 03DJS02 | 7154 |
| 34339 | 7590 01/09/20 | 06 | EXAM | INER |
| DONALD J. SHADE | | | EASHOO, MARK | |
| C/O BATTE | NFELD GLOUCEST | ER ENGINEERING CO., INC. | <u></u> | |
| P.O. BOX 900 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| GLOUCESTER, MA 01931 | | 1732 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| Notice of About | 10/601,435 | SMITH ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. | 1732 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | • • • • | • |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) | d publication fee, if applicable, within 5). | the statutory period of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review |
| 7. 🔲 The reason(s) below: | | |
| · | | Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. Primary Examiner |
| | | Art Unit: 1732 |